



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		Α	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	087917-795	US/21/9)	KLIMKU		P	1407B
ſ	- BARRY L COI PATENT DEPT ALCON LABOR	T Q 148	NM11/0618 uc	¬ [EXAMINER RAYMOND . R	
	6201 SOUTH		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ים	ART UNIT 1611 ATE MAILED:	PAPER NUMBER 5 06/18/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/917,795 Applicant(s)

Klimko et al.

Examiner

Richard L. Raymond

Group Art Unit 1611

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
☐ This action is FINAL .						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to exis longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reapplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	espond within the period for response will cause the					
Disposition of Claims						
	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
☐ Claim(s)						
☐ Claims						
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.						
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).						
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been						
☐ received.						
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)						
\square received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
	··					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s)						
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892						
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 1 and 2 ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413						
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948						
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152						
••						
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE	FOLLOWING PAGES					

Serial Number: 08/917,795 Page 2

Art Unit: 1611

DETAILED ACTION

1. Note that the Art Unit number for the present application has been changed from Art Unit 1209 to Art Unit 1611.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 24-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of the claims of prior U.S. Patent Nos. 5,510,383 and 5,665,773. This is a double patenting rejection. No difference is seen in the present claims. Note that the same working examples are present in all cases and thus the same products appear to be involved.

Obviousness-type Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Serial Number: 08/917,795 Page 3

Art Unit: 1611

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 24-45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,510,383 and 5,665,773.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because even if different purity of optical isomers is involved, one would be motivated to prepare the optically pure compounds with the reasonable expectation of obtaining additional useful products. In the absence of a showing of unexpected properties, no patentable significance is seen in the present selection.
- 6. The change of inventorship in the present case to include Peter G. Klimko has been entered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Raymond whose telephone number is (703) 308-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:30 AM to ^;00 PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

rr

June 17, 1998

RICHARD L. RAYMOND
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1611